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Network Layer

- transport segment from sending to receiving host
- on sending side encapsulates segments into datagrams
- on receiving side, delivers segments to transport layer
- network layer protocols in every host, router
- router examines header fields in all IP datagrams passing through it

Two Key Network-layer Functions

- **forwarding**: move packets from router’s input to appropriate router output
- **routing**: determine route taken by packets from source to dest.
  - routing algorithms

**analogy:**

- **routing**: process of planning trip from source to dest
- **forwarding**: process of getting through single interchange
Interplay Between Routing and Forwarding

- Routing algorithm determines end-end-path through network.
- Forwarding table determines local forwarding at this router.
- Value in arriving packet’s header.

Datagram Networks

- No call setup at network layer.
- Routers: no state about end-to-end connections.
  - No network-level concept of “connection”.
- Packets forwarded using destination host address.
### Datagram Forwarding Table

**Routing Algorithm**
- **Local Forwarding Table**
  - **Dest Address**
  - **Output Link**
  - **Address-Range 1**: 3 (Link 3)
  - **Address-Range 2**: 2 (Link 2)
  - **Address-Range 3**: 2 (Link 2)
  - **Address-Range 4**: 1 (Link 1)

**4 billion IP addresses, so rather than list individual destination address, list range of addresses (aggregate table entries)**

**IP Destination Address in arriving packet’s header**

#### Destination Address Range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Destination Address Range</th>
<th>Link Interface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00010000 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00010111 11111111</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00011000 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011000 11111111</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11001000 00010111 00011001 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011111 11111111</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>otherwise</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
two key router functions:

- run routing algorithms/protocol (RIP, OSPF, BGP)
- forwarding datagrams from incoming to outgoing link

**Input Port Functions**

- line termination
- link layer protocol (receive)
- lookup, forwarding
- queueing
- switch fabric

**Decentralized switching:**

- given datagram dest., lookup output port using forwarding table in input port memory ("match plus action")
- goal: complete input port processing at line speed
- queuing: if datagrams arrive faster than forwarding rate into switch fabric
Switching Fabrics

- transfer packet from input buffer to appropriate output buffer
- switching rate: rate at which packets can be transferred from inputs to outputs
  - often measured as multiple of input/output line rate
  - N inputs: switching rate N times line rate desirable
- three types of switching fabrics

Switching Via Memory

**first generation routers:**
- traditional computers with switching under direct control of CPU
- packet copied to system’s memory
- speed limited by memory bandwidth (2 bus crossings per datagram)
Switching Via a Bus

- datagram from input port memory to output port memory via a shared bus
- **bus contention**: switching speed limited by bus bandwidth
- 32 Gbps bus, Cisco 5600: sufficient speed for access and enterprise routers

Switching Via Interconnection Network

- overcome bus bandwidth limitations
- banyan networks, crossbar, other interconnection nets initially developed to connect processors in multiprocessor
- advanced design: fragmenting datagram into fixed length cells, switch cells through the fabric.
- Cisco 12000: switches 60 Gbps through the interconnection network
Output Ports

- **buffering** required when datagrams arrive from fabric faster than the transmission rate
- **scheduling discipline** chooses among queued datagrams for transmission

Datagram (packets) can be lost due to congestion, lack of buffers

Priority scheduling – who gets best performance, network neutrality

Output Port Queueing

- **buffering when arrival rate via switch exceeds output line speed**
- **queueing (delay) and loss due to output port buffer overflow!**
How much buffering?

- RFC 3439 rule of thumb: average buffering equal to “typical” RTT (say 250 msec) times link capacity C
  - e.g., $C = 10$ Gbps link: 2.5 Gbit buffer
- recent recommendation: with $N$ flows, buffering equal to

$$\frac{\text{RTT} \cdot C}{\sqrt{N}}$$

The Internet Network Layer

host, router network layer functions:

- transport layer: TCP, UDP
- IP protocol
  - addressing conventions
  - datagram format
  - packet handling conventions
- ICMP protocol
  - error reporting
  - router signaling
- routing protocols
  - path selection
  - RIP, OSPF, BGP
- forwarding table
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Inside a Router

- **Routing Engine**

- **Input Ports**

- **Packet Forwarding Fabric**

- **Output Ports**

- **General-purpose CPU**

- **ASIC, or specialized chips**
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Two Key Definitions

- **Data Plane**: processing and delivery of packets
  - Based on state in routers and endpoints
  - E.g., IP, TCP, Ethernet, etc.
  - Fast timescales (per-packet)

- **Control Plane**: establishing the state in routers
  - Determines how and where packets are forwarded
  - Routing, traffic engineering, firewall state, …
  - Slow time-scales (per control event)
We have lost our way

Routing, management, mobility management, access control, VPNs, ...

- Million of lines of source code
- 5400 RFCs
- Barrier to entry

- 500M gates
- Bloated
- Power Hungry

- 10Gbytes RAM

Specialized Packet Forwarding Hardware

Operating System

App

App

App

Many complex functions baked into the infrastructure

OSPF, BGP, multicast, differentiated services,
Traffic Engineering, NAT, firewalls, MPLS, redundant layers, ...

An industry with a “mainframe-mentality”
Software Defined Networks: The Future of Networking and the Past of Protocols

Key to Internet Success: Layers

- Applications
  - ...built on...
- Reliable (or unreliable) transport
  - ...built on...
- Best-effort global packet delivery
  - ...built on...
- Best-effort local packet delivery
  - ...built on...
- Physical transfer of bits
Why Is Layering So Important?

- Decomposed delivery into fundamental components
- Independent but compatible innovation at each layer
- A practical success of unprecedented proportions…
- …but an academic failure

Built an Artifact, Not a Discipline

- Other fields in “systems”: Operating Systems, Data Bases, Distributed Systems
  - Teach basic principles
  - Are easily managed
  - Continue to evolve

- Networking:
  - Teach big bag of protocols
  - Notoriously difficult to manage
  - Evolves very slowly
Why Does Networking Lag Behind?

- Networks used to be simple: Ethernet, IP, TCP….

- New control requirements led to great complexity
  - Isolation ➔ VLANs, ACLs
  - Traffic engineering ➔ MPLS, ECMP, Weights
  - Packet processing ➔ Firewalls, NATs, middleboxes
  - Payload analysis ➔ Deep packet inspection (DPI)
  - …

- Mechanisms designed and deployed independently
  - Complicated “control plane” design, primitive functionality
  - Stark contrast to the elegantly modular “data plane”

Infrastructure Still Works!

- Only because of “our” ability to master complexity

- This ability to master complexity is both a blessing…
  - …and a curse!
A Simple Story About Complexity

~1985: Don Norman visits Xerox PARC
   - Talks about user interfaces and stick shifts

What Was His Point?

- The ability to master complexity is not the same as the ability to extract simplicity
- When first getting systems to work….
  - Focus on mastering complexity
- When making system easy to use and understand
  - Focus on extracting simplicity
- You will never succeed in extracting simplicity
  - If don’t recognize it is different from mastering complexity
Take Home Message

- Networking still focused on mastering complexity
  - Little emphasis on extracting simplicity from control plane
  - No recognition that there is a difference....

- Extracting simplicity builds intellectual foundations
  - Necessary for creating a discipline....
  - That's why networking lags behind

---

We have lost our way

Routing, management, mobility management, access control, VPNs, ...

- Million of lines of source code
- 5400 RFCs
- Barrier to entry

- 500M gates
- Bloated
- Power Hungry
- 10Gbytes RAM

Many complex functions baked into the infrastructure
- OSPF, BGP, multicast, differentiated services,
- Traffic Engineering, NAT, firewalls, MPLS, redundant layers, ...

An industry with a “mainframe-mentality”
Reality

- Lack of competition means glacial innovation
- Closed architecture means blurry, closed interfaces

Glacial process of innovation made worse by captive standards process

- Driven by vendors
- Consumers largely locked out
- Lowest common denominator features
- Glacial innovation
A Better Example: Programming

- Machine languages: no abstractions
  - Mastering complexity was crucial

- Higher-level languages: OS and other abstractions
  - File system, virtual memory, abstract data types, ...

- Modern languages: even more abstractions
  - Object orientation, garbage collection, ...

Abstractions key to extracting simplicity

Why Are Abstractions/Interfaces Useful?

- Interfaces are instantiations of abstractions

- Interfaces shield programs from low-level details
  - Allows freedom of implementation on both sides
  - Which leads to modular program structure

- They don’t remove complexity, merely hide it
  - Someone deals with complexity once
  - Everyone else leverages that work
“The Power of Abstraction”

“Modularity based on abstraction is the way things get done”

Barbara Liskov

Abstractions ➔ Interfaces ➔ Modularity

What abstractions do we have in networking?

Layers are Great Abstractions

- Layers only deal with the data plane
  - IP’s best effort delivery
  - TCP’s reliable byte-stream

- We have no powerful control plane abstractions!
  - No sophisticated management/control building blocks
  - So new control requirements cause increased complexity

- How do we find those control plane abstractions?

- Two steps: define problem, and then decompose it
The Network Control Problem

- Compute the configuration of each physical device
  - E.g., Forwarding tables, ACLs,…
- Operate without communication guarantees
- Operate within given network-level protocol

*Only people who love complexity would find this a reasonable request*

Programming Analogy

- What if programmers had to:
  - Specify where each bit was stored
  - Explicitly deal with all internal communication errors
  - Within a programming language with limited expressability
- Programmers would redefine problem:
  - Define a higher level abstraction for memory
  - Build on reliable communication abstractions
  - Use a more general language
- **Abstractions** divide problem into tractable pieces
  - And make programmer's task easier
From Requirements to Abstractions

1. Operate without communication guarantees
   Need an abstraction for **distributed state**
   • the communication in control programs is ALL directed towards collecting/disseminating/or calculating on distributed state

2. Compute the configuration of each physical device
   Need an abstraction that **simplifies configuration**

3. Operate within given network-level protocol
   Need an abstraction for general **forwarding model**

   *Once these abstractions are in place, control mechanism has a much easier job!*

---

SDN in one sentence

- SDN is defined *precisely* by these three abstractions
  - Distribution, forwarding, configuration

- SDN not just a random good idea…
  - Fundamental validity and general applicability

- SDN may help us create a discipline
  - Abstractions enable reasoning about system behavior
  - Provides environment where formalism can take hold….

- OK, but what are these abstractions?
1. Distributed State Abstraction

- Shield control mechanisms from state distribution
  - While allowing access to this state

- Natural abstraction: \textit{global network view}
  - Annotated network graph provided through an API

-Implemented with “Network Operating System”

- Control mechanism is now program using API
  - No longer a distributed protocol, now just a graph algorithm
  - E.g. Use Dijkstra rather than Bellman-Ford
Major Change in Paradigm

- No longer designing distributed control protocols
  - Design one distributed system (NOS)
  - Use for all control functions
- Now just defining a centralized control function

Configuration = Function(view)

Key Task of Network Controller

- OpenFlow protocol is largely deltas:
  - Switch-to-Controller: changes of network state
  - Controller-to-Switch: changes of configuration
- It is a natural way to write control logic
2. Specification Abstraction

- Control program should express desired behavior
- It should not be responsible for implementing that behavior on physical network infrastructure
- Natural abstraction: simplified model of network
  - Simple model with only enough detail to specify goals
- Requires a new shared control layer:
  - Map abstract configuration to physical configuration
- This is “network virtualization”

Simple Example: Access Control

What
Abstract Network Model

Global Network View

How
What Does This Picture Mean?

- Write a simple program to configure a simple model
  - Configuration merely a way to specify what you want
- Examples
  - Access Control Lists: who can talk to who
  - Isolation: who can hear my broadcasts
  - Routing: only specify routing to the degree you care
    - Some flows over satellite, others over landline
  - Traffic Engineering: specify in terms of quality of service, not routes
- Virtualization layer “compiles” these requirements
  - Produces suitable configuration of actual network devices
- Network Operating System then transmits these settings to physical boxes
Software Defined Network: Take 2
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Two Examples Uses

- **Scale-out router:**
  - Abstract view is single router
  - Physical network is collection of interconnected switches
  - Allows routers to "scale out, not up"
  - Use standard routing protocols on top

- **Multi-tenant networks:**
  - Each tenant has control over their "private" network
  - Network virtualization layer compiles all of these individual control requests into a single physical configuration

- **Hard to do without SDN, easy (in principle) with SDN**
3. Forwarding Abstraction

- Switches have two “brains”
  - Management CPU (smart but slow)
  - Forwarding ASIC (fast but dumb)

- Need a forwarding abstraction for both
  - CPU abstraction can be almost anything

- ASIC abstraction is much more subtle: **OpenFlow**

- **OpenFlow**:
  - Control switch by inserting `<header;action>` entries
  - Essentially gives NOS remote access to forwarding table
  - Instantiated in OpenvSwitch

---

Does SDN Work?

- Is it scalable? **Yes**
- Is it less responsive? **No**
- Does it create a single point of failure? **No**
- Is it inherently less secure? **No**
- Is it incrementally deployable? **Yes**
SDN: Clean Separation of Concerns

- **Control program**: specify behavior on abstract model
  - Driven by Operator Requirements

- **Network Virtualization**: map abstract model to global view
  - Driven by Specification Abstraction

- **Network Operating System**: map global view to physical switches
  - API: driven by Distributed State Abstraction
  - Switch/fabric interface: driven by Forwarding Abstraction

We Have Achieved Modularity!

- Modularity enables independent innovation
  - Gives rise to a thriving ecosystem

- Innovation is the true value proposition of SDN
  - SDN doesn’t allow you to do the impossible
  - It just allows you to do the possible much more easily

- **This is why SDN is the future of networking**…
Is change likely?

Change is happening in non-traditional markets

[Diagram showing network components and relationships]
The “Software-defined Network”

1. Open interface to hardware

2. At least one good operating system
   Extensible, possibly open-source

3. Well-defined open API

- Isolated “slices”
- Many operating systems, or
  Many versions

Virtualization or “Slicing” Layer

Open interface to hardware
Consequences

More innovation in network services
- Owners, operators, 3rd party developers, researchers can improve the network
- E.g. energy management, data center management, policy routing, access control, denial of service, mobility

Lower barrier to entry for competition
- Healthier market place, new players

The change has already started

In a nutshell
- Driven by cost and control
- Started in data centers..... and may spread
- Trend is towards an open-source, software-defined network
- Growing interest for cellular and telecom networks
**Example: New Data Center**

**Cost**
- 200,000 servers
- Fanout of 20 $\Rightarrow$ 10,000 switches
- $5k$ commercial switch $\Rightarrow$ $50M$
- $1k$ custom-built switch $\Rightarrow$ $10M$

**Control**
1. Optimize for features needed
2. Customize for services & apps
3. Quickly improve and innovate

Savings in 10 data centers = $400M

Large data center operators are moving towards defining their own network in software.

**Trend**

Virtualization layer

**Computer Industry**
- Windows (OS)
- Linux
- Mac OS
- x86 (Computer)

**Network Industry**
- NOX (Network OS)
- OpenFlow

Virtualization or “Slicing”
Software-defined Wireless Networks

The SDN “Stack”
The SDN Stack

Controller

Simple Switch CloudNaaS Stratos ... Applications

NOX Beacon Trema Maestro ... Controller

FlowVisor Console FlowVisor Slicing Software

Commercial Switches

HP, NEC, Pronto, Juniper... and many more

OpenWRT Software Ref. Switch NetFPGA Broadcom Ref. Switch

WiFi AP PCEngine Open vSwitch

OpenFlow Switches

The SDN Stack

Controller

OpenFlow Switches
How does OpenFlow work?

Ethernet Switch

Control Path (Software)

Data Path (Hardware)
OpenFlow Controller

OpenFlow Protocol (SSL/TCP)

Control Path

OpenFlow

Data Path (Hardware)

### OpenFlow Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow Table</th>
<th>MAC src</th>
<th>MAC dst</th>
<th>IP Src</th>
<th>IP Dst</th>
<th>TCP sport</th>
<th>TCP dport</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>5.6.7.8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>port 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OpenFlow Client

PC

Port 1

Port 2

Port 3

Port 4

5.6.7.8

1.2.3.4
OpenFlow Progression

- OF v1.0: released end of 2009: “Into the Campus”
- OF v1.1: released March 1 2011: “Into the WAN”
  - multiple tables: leverage additional tables
  - tags and tunnels: MPLS, VLAN, virtual ports
  - multipath forwarding: ECMP, groups
  - extensible match
  - extensible actions
  - IPv6
  - multiple controllers

The SDN Stack

Controller

Commercial Switches
- HP, NEC, Pronto, Juniper, and many more

OpenFlow Switches
- Broadcom Ref. Switch
- NetFPGA
- PC Engine WiFi AP
- Open vSwitch
- OpenWRT
### Swatches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Virtualize?</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| HP ProCurve| 5400zl, 6600, + | 1 OF instance per VLAN | -LACP, VLAN and STP processing before OF  
-Wildcard rules or non-IP pkts processed in s/w  
-Header rewriting in s/w  
-CPU protects mgmt during loop |
| Pronto/Pica8| 3290, 3780, 3920, + | 1 OF instance per switch | -No legacy protocols (like VLAN and STP)  
-Most actions processed in hardware  
-MAC header rewriting in h/w |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Lang</th>
<th>Platform(s)</th>
<th>Original Author</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OpenFlow Reference</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Linux</td>
<td>Stanford/Nicira</td>
<td>not designed for extensibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open vSwitch</td>
<td>C/Python</td>
<td>Linux/BSD?</td>
<td>Ben Pfaff/Nicira</td>
<td>In Linux kernel 3.3+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigo</td>
<td>C/Lua</td>
<td>Linux-based Hardware Switches</td>
<td>Dan Talayco/BigSwitch</td>
<td>Bare OpenFlow switch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The SDN Stack

**Controller**

- NOX
- Beacon
- Trema
- Maestro
- ...

**Commercial Switches**

- HP, NEC, Pronto, Juniper... and many more

**OpenFlow Switches**

- Software Ref. Switch
- NetFPGA
- Broadcom Ref. Switch
- OpenWRT
- PCEngine WiFi AP
- Open vSwitch
### Controllers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Lang</th>
<th>Original Author</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OpenFlow</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Stanford/Nicira</td>
<td>not designed for extensibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Python, C++</td>
<td>Nicira</td>
<td>actively developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOX</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nicira</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beacon</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>David Erickson (Stanford)</td>
<td>runtime modular, web UI framework, regression test framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maestro</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>Zheng Cai (Rice)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trema</td>
<td>Ruby, C</td>
<td>NEC</td>
<td>includes emulator, regression test framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RouteFlow</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>CPqD (Brazil)</td>
<td>virtual IP routing as a service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POX</td>
<td>Python</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodlight</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>BigSwitch, based on Beacon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Too many to easily keep track of...

http://yuba.stanford.edu/~casado/of-sw.html

### The SDN Stack

[Diagram showing the SDN Stack with controllers, software switches, and commercial switches]
FlowVisor Creates Virtual Networks

Each application runs in an isolated slice of the network.

The SDN Stack

Applications

Controller

Slicing Software

Commercial Switches

OpenFlow Switches

Simple Switch

CloudNaaS

Stratos

...
Example SDN Applications

Wisconsin Projects
- Stratos
- CloudNaaS
- OpenSAFE
- ECOS

Stanford Demos
- Wireless mobility
- VM mobility/migration
- Network virtualization
- Power management
- Load balancing
- Traffic Engineering

Videos of Research Demos

These videos demonstrate different research experiments that build on top of OpenFlow. If you have similar videos that demonstrate your research and are interested in hosting them here, please contact NAIM Handgel.

openflow.org/videos
The SDN Stack

Monitoring/Debugging tools
- oftrace
- oflops
- openseer

Applications
- ENVI (GUI)
- LAVI
- n-Casting
- ...

Controller
- NOX
- Beacon
- Trema
- Maestro
- ...

Slicing Software
- FlowVisor

Commercial Switches
- HP, NEC, Pronto, Juniper, and many more

OpenFlow Switches
- Software Ref. Switch
- NetFPGA
- PCEngine Ref. Switch
- OpenWRT
- WiFi AP
- Open vSwitch

OpenFlow and Network Virtualization
In a nutshell

- A revolution is just starting in networking
  - Driven by cost and control
  - It started in data centers… and is spreading
  - Trend is towards an open-source, software-defined network
- The new opportunity to innovate will bring about the need to try new ideas
  - Hence virtualization (or slicing)

- Outline one way to do it with OpenFlow

Software-defined Network

1. Data Centers
   - Cost and control

2. Network & Cellular operators
   - Bit-pipe avoidance
   - Cost and control
   - Security and mobility

1. Researchers
   - GENI, FIRE, …
What form might it take?

OS abstracts hardware substrate  
→ Innovation in applications
Simple, common, stable, hardware substrate below
+ Programmability
+ Competition
→ Innovation in OS and applications

Simple, common, stable, hardware substrate below
+ Programmability
+ Strong isolation model
+ Competition above
→ Innovation in infrastructure
A simple stable common substrate

1. Allows applications to flourish
   Internet: Stable IPv4 led to the web
2. Allows the infrastructure on top to be defined in software
   Internet: Routing protocols, management, …
3. Rapid innovation of the infrastructure itself
   Internet: er…? What’s missing? What is the substrate…?

(Statement of the obvious)

- In networking, despite several attempts…

- Never agreed upon a clean separation between:
  1. A simple common hardware substrate
  2. And an open programming environment on top
A prediction

1. A clean separation between the substrate and an open programming environment
2. A simple low-cost hardware substrate that generalizes, subsumes and simplifies the current substrate
3. Very few preconceived ideas about how the substrate will be programmed
4. Strong isolation among features

➢ But most of all….

Open-source will play a large role
Owners, operators, administrators, developers, researchers will want to...

...improve, update, fix, experiment, share, build-upon, and version their network.

Therefore, the software-defined network will allow simple ways to program and version.

One way to do this is virtualizing/slicing the network substrate.
OpenFlow as a simple, sliceable substrate below

Simple, common, stable, hardware substrate below
+ Programmability
+ Strong isolation model
+ Competition above
→ Faster innovation
Step 1: Separate intelligence from datapath

Operators, users, 3rd party developers, researchers, …

New function!

Step 2: Cache decisions in minimal flow-based datapath

“If header = $x$, send to port 4”
“If header = $y$, overwrite header with $z$, send to ports 5,6”
“If header = $?$, send to me”
Properties of a flow-based substrate

- We need flexible definitions of a flow
  - Unicast, multicast, waypoints, load-balancing
  - Different aggregations
- We need direct control over flows
  - Flow as an entity we program: To route, to make private, to move, …
- Exploit the benefits of packet switching
  - It works and is universally deployed
  - It’s efficient (when kept simple)
**Substrate: “Flowspace”**

Collection of bits to plumb flows (of different granularities) between endpoints.

**Header**
- User-defined flowspace

**Payload**

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethernet</th>
<th>IP</th>
<th>TCP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DA, SA, etc</td>
<td>DA, SA, etc</td>
<td>DP, SP, etc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

`"OpenFlow 2.0"`

---

**Flowspace: Simple example**

- Single flow
- All flows from A
- All flows between two subnets

**Diagram**

- IP DA
- IP SA
- A

---
Flowspace: Generalization

- Single flow
- Set of flows

Properties of Flowspace

- Backwards compatible
  - Current layers are a special case
  - No end points need to change
- Easily implemented in hardware
  - e.g. TCAM flow-table in each switch
- Strong isolation of flows
  - Simple geometric construction
  - Can prove which flows can/cannot communicate
Slicing Flowspace

Approach 1: Slicing using VLANs

C VLANs
B VLANs
A VLANs
(Legacy VLANs)

Normal L2/L3 Processing

Some prototype OpenFlow switches do this…
Approach 2: FlowVisor
Rob Sherwood* (rob.sherwood@stanford.edu)

FlowVisor

Alice's Controller
Bob's Controller

OpenFlow Protocol

OpenFlow Switch

Approach 2: FlowVisor

FlowVisor

Broadcast
Multicast
http Load-balancer

OpenFlow Protocol

OpenFlow Switch
FlowVisor

- A proxy between switch and guest controller
- Parses and rewrites OpenFlow messages as they pass
- Ensures that one experiment does not affect another
- Allows rich virtual network boundaries
  - By port, by IP, by flow, by time, etc.
- Define virtualization rules in software
FlowVisor Goals

- Transparency
  - Unmodified guest controllers
  - Unmodified switches
- Strong resource Isolation
  - Link b/w, switch CPU, etc.
  - Flow space: who gets this message
- Virtualization Policy module
- Rich network slicing

Slicing Example
What is OpenFlow?
Short Story: OpenFlow is an API

- Control how packets are forwarded
- Implementable on COTS hardware
- Make deployed networks programmable
  - not just configurable
- Makes innovation easier
- Result:
  - Increased control: custom forwarding
  - Reduced cost: API $\rightarrow$ increased competition
OpenFlow Flow Table Abstraction

Software Layer

OpenFlow Firmware

Hardware Layer

Flow Table

MAC src MAC dst IP Src IP Dst TCP sport TCP dport Action

* * * 5.6.7.8 * * port 1

Port 1 Port 2 Port 3 Port 4

OpenFlow Basics - Flow Table Entries

Rule Action Stats

Packet + byte counters

1. Forward packet to port(s)
2. Encapsulate and forward to controller
3. Drop packet
4. Send to normal processing pipeline
5. Modify Fields

Switch Port VLAN ID MAC src MAC dst Eth type IP Src IP Dst IP Prot TCP sport TCP dport

+ mask what fields to match
### Examples

#### Switching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switch Port</th>
<th>MAC src</th>
<th>MAC dst</th>
<th>Eth type</th>
<th>VLAN ID</th>
<th>IP Src</th>
<th>IP Dst</th>
<th>IP Prot</th>
<th>TCP sport</th>
<th>TCP dport</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>00:1f...</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>port6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Flow Switching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switch Port</th>
<th>MAC src</th>
<th>MAC dst</th>
<th>Eth type</th>
<th>VLAN ID</th>
<th>IP Src</th>
<th>IP Dst</th>
<th>IP Prot</th>
<th>TCP sport</th>
<th>TCP dport</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>port3</td>
<td>00:20...</td>
<td>00:1f..</td>
<td>0800</td>
<td>vlan1</td>
<td>1.2.3.4</td>
<td>5.6.7.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17264</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>port6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Firewall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switch Port</th>
<th>MAC src</th>
<th>MAC dst</th>
<th>Eth type</th>
<th>VLAN ID</th>
<th>IP Src</th>
<th>IP Dst</th>
<th>IP Prot</th>
<th>TCP sport</th>
<th>TCP dport</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>drop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Routing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switch Port</th>
<th>MAC src</th>
<th>MAC dst</th>
<th>Eth type</th>
<th>VLAN ID</th>
<th>IP Src</th>
<th>IP Dst</th>
<th>IP Prot</th>
<th>TCP sport</th>
<th>TCP dport</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>5.6.7.8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>port6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### VLAN Switching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switch Port</th>
<th>MAC src</th>
<th>MAC dst</th>
<th>Eth type</th>
<th>VLAN ID</th>
<th>IP Src</th>
<th>IP Dst</th>
<th>IP Prot</th>
<th>TCP sport</th>
<th>TCP dport</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>00:1f..</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>vlan1</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>port6, port7, port9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Network Design Decisions

Forwarding logic (of course)
Centralized vs. distributed control
Fine vs. coarse grained rules
Reactive vs. Proactive rule creation

Likely more: open research area
Centralized vs Distributed Control

Centralized Control

- Controller
- OpenFlow Switch

Distributed Control

- Controller
- OpenFlow Switch

Flow Routing vs. Aggregation

Both models are possible with OpenFlow

Flow-Based
- Every flow is individually set up by controller
- Exact-match flow entries
- Flow table contains one entry per flow
- Good for fine grain control, e.g. campus networks

Aggregated
- One flow entry covers large groups of flows
- Wildcard flow entries
- Flow table contains one entry per category of flows
- Good for large number of flows, e.g. backbone
### Reactive vs. Proactive

Both models are possible with OpenFlow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactive</th>
<th>Proactive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First packet of flow triggers controller to insert flow entries</td>
<td>Controller pre-populates flow table in switch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient use of flow table Every flow incurs small additional flow setup time If control connection lost, switch has limited utility</td>
<td>Zero additional flow setup time Loss of control connection does not disrupt traffic Essentially requires aggregated (wildcard) rules</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OpenFlow Application: Network Slicing

- Divide the production network into logical *slices*
  - each slice/service controls its own packet forwarding
  - users pick which slice controls their traffic: *opt-in*
  - existing production services run in their own slice
    - e.g., Spanning tree, OSPF/BGP

- Enforce **strong isolation** between slices
  - actions in one slice do not affect another

- Allows the (logical) testbed to mirror the production network
  - real hardware, performance, topologies, scale, users

  - Prototype implementation: FlowVisor
Network Slicing Architecture

- A **network slice** is a collection of sliced switches/routers

  - Data plane is unmodified
    - Packets forwarded with **no performance penalty**
    - Slicing with existing ASIC

  - **Transparent** slicing layer
    - each slice believes it owns the data path
    - enforces isolation between slices
      - i.e., rewrites, drops rules to adhere to slice police
    - forwards exceptions to correct slice(s)
Slicing Policies

- The policy specifies resource limits for each slice:
  - Link bandwidth
  - Maximum number of forwarding rules
  - Topology
  - Fraction of switch/router CPU

- *FlowSpace*: which packets does the slice control?

Flowspace

- Flowspace is a way of thinking about classes of packets
- Each slice has forwarding control of a specific set of packets, as specified by packet header fields
  - all packets in a given flow are controlled by the same slice
- Each flow is controlled by exactly one slice (ignoring monitoring slices)
- In practice, flow spaces are described using ordered ACL-like rules
FlowSpace: Maps Packets to Slices

- Allow users to Opt-In to services in real-time
  - Users can delegate control of individual flows to Slices
  - Add new FlowSpace to each slice's policy

- Example:
  - "Slice 1 will handle my HTTP traffic"
  - "Slice 2 will handle my VoIP traffic"
  - "Slice 3 will handle everything else"

- Creates incentives for building high-quality services
FlowVisor Implemented on OpenFlow

FlowVisor Message Handling

Policy Check: Is this rule allowed?
Policy Check: Who controls this packet?
Full Line Rate Forwarding
OpenFlow Deployments

OpenFlow has been prototyped on….

- Ethernet switches
  - HP, Cisco, NEC, Quanta, + more underway
- IP routers
  - Cisco, Juniper, NEC
- Switching chips
  - Broadcom, Marvell
- Transport switches
  - Ciena, Fujitsu
- WiFi APs and WiMAX Basestations

Most (all?) hardware switches now based on Open vSwitch…
Deployment: Stanford

- Real, production network
  - 15 switches, 35 APs
  - 25+ users
  - 1+ year of use

- Same physical network hosts Stanford demos
  - 7 different demos

Deployments: GENI

[Map showing locations like Washington, Stanford, Wisconsin, Internet2, NLR, Princeton, Rutgers, Clemson, GATech]
(Public) Industry Interest

• Google has been a main proponent of new OpenFlow 1.1 WAN features
  – ECMP, MPLS-label matching
  – MPLS LDP-OpenFlow speaking router: NANOG50
• NEC has announced commercial products
  – Initially for datacenters, talking to providers
• Ericsson
  – “MPLS Openflow and the Split Router Architecture: A Research Approach” at MPLS2010

Conclusions

• Current networks are complicated
• OpenFlow is an API
  – Interesting apps include network slicing
• Nation-wide academic trials underway
• OpenFlow has potential for Service Providers
  – Custom control for Traffic Engineering
NOX: A Bit of History

- NOX was the first SDN controller
- Released under GPL in 2008
  - Extensively used in research
- Now maintained by research community

NOX Highlights

- Linux
- C++ and Python
- Component system
- Event-based programming model
- Applications:
  - Forwarding (reactive), topology discovery, host tracking, …
NOX

- Centralized programming model
- High-level abstraction

Programming Interface

- Events
- Namespace
- Libraries
  - Routing
  - Packet classification
  - DNS
  - Network filtering
Switch Abstraction

OpenFlow switch abstraction is a flow table.

Each flow table entry takes the form

\(<header : counters, actions>\)

Switch executes the actions corresponding to the highest-priority matching header in table.
Operation

Switch
1. Packet $p$ reaches switch.
2. If $p$ matches a flow entry
   Then apply the corresponding actions
   Else forward to the controller

Controller
1. Packet $p$ reaches controller.
2. Update view of network state.
3. Decide the route for the packet and inform the relevant switches of that route.

Application I/O

Observation granularity:
– Switch-level topology
– Locations of users, hosts, middleboxes
– Services offered, e.g. HTTP or NFS
– Bindings between names and addresses
– NOT the entire packet/flow state

Control granularity: flows.
Decisions about one packet are applied to all subsequent packets in the flow.
Programmatic Interface: Events

NOX exposes network events to applications
- Switch join
- Switch leave
- User authenticated
- Flow initiated
- ...

Applications consist of code fragments that respond to these events.

Example: Access Control

```python
function handle_flow_initialize(packet)
    usersrc = nox.resolve_user_src(packet)
    hostsrc = nox.resolve_host_src(packet)
    usertgt = nox.resolve_user_tgt(packet)
    hosttgt = nox.resolve_host_tgt(packet)
    prot = nox.resolve_ap_prot(packet)
    if deny(usersrc,hosts src,usertgt,hosttgt,prot) then
        nox.drop(packet)
    else nox.installpath(p, nox.computepath(p))

function deny(usersrc, hostsrc, usertgt, hosttgt, prot)
    ...
```
Scalability

Events (per second)
- Packet arrivals ($10^6$): handled by switches
- Flow initiations ($10^5$): handled by controller
- View change (10): handled by controller

Controller
- Can be replicated.
- Only global data structure: view.
- One currently handles $10^5$ flow initiations per second.

Related Work

4D project (2005): provide global view of network via centralized controller.
SANE/Ethane (2007): extends 4D by adding users/nodes to the namespace and captures flow-initiation.
NOX (2008): extends SANE/Ethane
  - Scaling for large networks.
  - General programmatic control of network.
Maestro (2008): “network OS” focused on controlling interactions between applications.
Industry: deep-packet inspection, firewalls, etc. are appliances--can be leveraged by NOX. Also, functionality similar to Ethane.
POX

- A new platform in pure Python
  - Clean dependencies
  - Take good things from NOX
  - Target Linux, Mac OS, and Windows
- Goal: Good for research
- Non-goal: Performance

Network Debugging
New Research Areas

With SDN we can:

1. Formally verify that our networks are behaving correctly
2. Identify bugs, then systematically track down their root cause.

Software Defined Network (SDN)

Abstract Network View

Global Network View

Network OS

Packet Forwarding

Packet Forwarding

Packet Forwarding

Packet Forwarding
Making Software Work

$10B tool business supports a $300B S/W industry

100s of Books
>100,000 Papers
10s of Classes

traceroute, ping, tcpdump, SNMP, Netflow

... er, that’s about it.
Why debugging networks is hard

Complex interaction
- Between multiple protocols on a switch/router.
- Between state on different switches/routers.

Multiple uncoordinated writers of state.

Operators can’t...
- Observe all state.
- Control all state.

“Masters of Complexity”

A handful of books
Almost no papers
No classes
Philosophy of Making Networks Work

YoYo
“You’re On Your Own”

Yo-Yo Ma
“You’re On Your Own, Mate”

With SDN we can:

1. Formally verify that our networks are behaving correctly.
2. Identify bugs, then systematically track down their root cause.
Software Defined Network (SDN)

```
firewall.c
...
if ( pkt->tcp->dport == 22)
    dropPacket(pkt);
...
```

Abstract Network View

```
... Packet Forwarding ...
```

Network Virtualization

```
... Packet Forwarding ...
```

Global Network View

```
... Packet Forwarding ...
```

Network OS

```
... Packet Forwarding ...
```

Two SDN projects

1. Static Checking
   “Independently checking correctness”

2. Header Space Analysis
   “Is the datapath behaving correctly?”
Independently checking correctness

Motivations

In today’s networks, simple questions are hard to answer:

– Can host A talk to host B?
– What are all the packet headers from A that can reach B?
– Are there any loops in the network?
– Is Group X provably isolated from Group Y?
– What happens if I remove a line in the config file?
Software Defined Network (SDN)

Policy

“A can talk to B”
“Guests can’t reach PatientRecords”

Static Checker

Header Space Analysis
Header Space Analysis

Header Space Analysis

Header Space Analysis
Can A talk to B?

All packets from A that can reach B
Header Space Analysis

Consequences

- Abstract forwarding model; protocol independent
- Finds all packets from A that can reach B
- Find loops, regardless of protocol or layer
- Can prove that two groups are isolated

Can verify if network adheres to policy